

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 157398 TOSEC 050121

43

ORIGIN SS-15

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 CCO-00 NSCE-00 /016 R

66607

DRAFTED BY: S/S:CABORG

APPROVED BY: S/S:BORG

----- 044372

O 031531Z JUL 75 ZFF4

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USDEL SECRETARY IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T STATE 157398 TOSEC 050121

EXDIS

FOL REPEAT OF MADRID 4634 TO SECSTATE SECDEF JCS INFO USCINCEUR
NATO JUL 03

QTE:

S E C R E T MADRID 4634

EXDIS

FROM MCCLOSKEY - PASS SECRETARY

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR, SP, US

SUBJ: SPANISH NEGOTIATIONS: ROUND 7, MADRID JUNE 30-JUL 3

REF: MADRID 4591

1. IN STEERING COMMITTEE MORNING JULY 2 ROVIRA RETURNED TO THE FACILITIES ISSUE, THIS TIME TO PRESENT "A SPANISH PROPOSAL" WHICH HE HOPED U.S. WOULD STUDY AND RESPOND TO AT 8TH ROUND IN WASHINGTON. HE BEGAN WITH THE REFRAIN THAT, GIVEN U.S. INABILITY TO APPROVE BILATERAL SECURITY GUARANTEE OR TO ARRANGE FOR SOME INSTITUTIONAL LINKAGE BETWEEN NATO AND SPANISH-US DEFENSE SYSTEM, GOS WOULD OFFER ONLY THOSE FACILITIES WHICH RELATE TO BILATERAL SPANISH-US DEFENSE INTERESTS. HE THEN
SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 157398 TOSEC 050121

REPEATED ESSENTIALLY WHAT HE HAD PROPOSED YESTERDAY, NAMELY THAT U.S. COULD REMAIN IN ROTA AND ENJOY ALL THE FACILITIES CURRENTLY THERE AND RETAIN ALL COMMUNICATIONS, FUEL AND AMMO FACILITIES IN SPAIN. THEN HE ADDED NEW ELEMENT, MERELY THAT

ALL WEAPONS ON SHORE COULD REMAIN AS WELL. BUT EVERYTHING ELSE -- TORREJON, ZARAGOZA, MORON, THE TANKERS -- WOULD HAVE TO GO. ROVIRA ADDED THAT THIS NOW REPRESENTED A GOS "NEGOTIABLE" PROPOSAL.

2. MCCLOSKEY RESPONDED THAT HE REGRETTED PROFOUNDLY THAT GOS WAS TAKING SO DRASTIC A POSITION. HE EMPHASIZED THAT, DESPITE GOS INTENTION OF PUNISHING NATO FOR ITS FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE SPANISH CONTRIBUTIONS BY DEPRIVING FACILITIES IN SPAIN WHICH BENEFIT THE ALLIANCE, IT WOULD NOT BE PERCEIVED THAT WAY BY THE AMERICAN PUBLIC OR THE U.S. CONGRESS. RATHER, IT WOULD BE VIEWED AS NOTHING MORE THAN AN ANTI-US ACT AND WOULD SERIOUSLY IMPAIR OUR BILATERAL RELATIONSHIP, PARTICULARLY IF IT CAME SO SHORTLY AFTER THE VISIT OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

3. ROVIRA APPEARED UNMOVED. HE REPEATED FAMILIAR REFRAIN THAT SPAIN COULD NOT ACCEPT THE SECOND CLASS STATUS IT WAS BEING AFFORDED AS COMPARED TO NATO, WHICH, INTER ALIA, CONTRADICTED PARAGRAPH 6 OF THE DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES. HE THEN OBSERVED THAT THE GOS SAW LITTLE VALUE IN A TREATY WITHOUT A SECURITY GUARANTEE, THOUGH HE LATER EXPRESSED INTEREST IN EXAMINING THE FRAMEWORK OF PAPER WE HAD PREPARED ON A NEW TREATY FORMAT.

4. IN THE PLENARY THAT FOLLOWED, ROVIRA RESTATED THE GOS POSITION AND MCCLOSKEY RESPONDED THAT THIS WAS A SELF-DEFEATING PROPOSAL WHICH WOULD HAVE SERIOUS ADVERSE IMPACT ON WHAT THE U.S. EXECUTIVE BRANCH COULD DO TO COOPERATE WITH SPAIN IN THE FIELD OF DEFENSE. MCCLOSKEY REFERRED AGAIN TO THE ANTI-AMERICAN IMAGE OF THE GOS PROPOSAL.

COMMENT:

5. THE GOS APPEARS TO HAVE BACKED ITSELF INTO A CORNER ON FACILITIES, AT LEAST TEMPORARILY. IT HAS DONE SO FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS: FAILURE TO OBTAIN A SECURITY GUARANTEE, PARTIALLY TO RESPOND TO THE "PUBLIC PRESSURE" TO REDUCE U.S. PRESENCE AND ALSO BECAUSE ITS NATIONAL PRIDE HAS BEEN WOUNDED BY NATO
SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 157398 TOSEC 050121

REJECTION. THE NATO INABILITY TO ACCEPT TIES WITH SPAIN HAS REVIVED GENERATIONS-OLD SPANISH RESENTMENT OF THE WEST EUROPEAN DISDAIN TOWARD IBERIA. THEIR PROPOSAL, WHICH SEEKS TO STRIKE BACK AT NATO THROUGH OUR FACILITIES, APPEARS LOGICAL TO THEM DESPITE OUR ARGUMENT THAT NATO ALLIES WILL NOT BE HURT -- BUT US WILL. THE QUESTION BEFORE US NOW IS HOW WE DEAL WITH IT.

6. WE FACE OTHER DIFFICULTIES WITH THE GOS POSITION. FIRST, WE WOULD FIND IT AWKWARD TO COUNTENANCE SPANISH PUBLIC ATTACKS AGAINST NATO. SECOND, AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, WE CANNOT ACCEPT A BASE AGREEMENT WHICH CONCEDES TO THE GOS, IMPLICITLY OR EXPLICITLY, THE RIGHT TO RESTRICT FUTURE USE OF OUR FACILITIES IN SPAIN ON THE BASIS OF THEIR ILLOGICAL DISTINCTION BETWEEN

NATO AND NON-NATO RELATED ACTIVITIES.
STABLER UNQTE INGERSOLL

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, INTELLIGENCE ASSESSMENTS, MARTIAL LAW, POLITICAL SITUATION, PROGRESS REPORTS, THREATS, TOSEC
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 03 JUL 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ShawDG
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE157398
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: S/S:CABORG
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D750231-0140
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197507104/baaaaoit.tel
Line Count: 113
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ShawDG
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 18 JUN 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <18 JUN 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <23 DEC 2003 by ShawDG>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> mcm 971010
Subject: n/a
TAGS: MARR, SP, US
To: SECRETARY
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006